

**Stat 543 Assignment 3 (due Monday February 15, 2016)**  
**Exponential Families, Fisher and Kullback-Leibler Information**

1. Problems: 1.6.2, 1.6.5, 1.6.6, 1.6.34 of Bickel and Doksum
2. In a 1-parameter exponential family of distributions
  - (a) give a simple representation for the Fisher Information in  $X$  about  $\eta$ ,  $I_X(\eta)$ .
  - (b) give a simple representation for the K-L Information in  $X$ ,  $I_X(\eta', \eta)$ .
3. On the course web page, there is an argument that (for discrete cases) for statistic  $T(X)$ ,  $I_X(\theta) \geq I_{T(X)}(\theta)$ . Argue carefully that in the context considered on that handout  $I_X(\theta) = I_{T(X)}(\theta)$  for all  $\theta$ , if and only if  $T(X)$  is sufficient. You may use the fact that for  $W$  with finite expected square,  $EW^2 = (EW)^2$  if and only if the distribution of  $W$  is degenerate. It may also be helpful to realize that for differentiable functions  $h(\theta)$  and  $k(\theta)$ , the logarithms  $\ln h(\theta)$  and  $\ln k(\theta)$  have the same derivative functions if and only if they differ by at most a constant.
4. Problems 3.3.14, 3.3.15 of Bickel and Doksum. (For 3.3.14 just find the posterior densities up to a constant of proportionality. You don't need to find posterior means if it's not obvious to you what "standard" form a posterior takes. Regarding 3.3.15, see Problem 8.9 of Young and Smith for interest.)
5. What is the "Jefferys prior" for a model with  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ ? For this "prior," what is the posterior distribution of  $\lambda|X$ ?
6. Suppose that  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
  - (a) Consider the two-dimensional parameter,  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)$ . Find the Fisher information matrix  $I_X(\theta_0)$ .
  - (b) Then consider the reparameterization in exponential family form with

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \\ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2^2} \\ -\frac{1}{2\theta^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

What is  $I_X(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0)$ ?

- (c) If

$$g(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2^2} \\ -\frac{1}{2\theta^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = g^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0)$ , can one simply plug  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$  into matrix from **a)** to get the matrix from **b)**?

The complete story hinted at here is told in Problem 3.4.3 of B&D.

7. Below are pmfs  $f(x|\theta)$  for  $\theta = 1, 2, 3$ . Use them in what follows.

	$x = 1$	$x = 2$	$x = 3$	$x = 4$	$x = 5$	$x = 6$
$\theta = 3$	0	.1	.1	.6	.1	.1
$\theta = 2$	.1	.1	.2	.2	.2	.2
$\theta = 1$	0	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2

- (a) Find the K-L Information values  $I_X(f(x|1), f(x|2))$  and  $I_X(f(x|2), f(x|1))$ . Are these the same? Offer an interpretation regarding what their magnitudes say about one's ability to detect the possibility that the first argument of  $I(\cdot, \cdot)$  governs the behavior of  $X$  if "the other possibility" is that the second argument governs the behavior of  $X$ .
- (b) Find  $I_X(f(x|1), f(x|3))$  and compare it to  $I_X(f(x|1), f(x|2))$ . From the point of view of K-L information, is  $X$  more informative for discriminating  $\theta = 1$  from  $\theta = 2$ , or for discriminating  $\theta = 1$  from  $\theta = 3$ ?
- (c) Find a minimal sufficient statistic,  $T(X)$ , for the 2-class model  $\{f(x|1), f(x|3)\}$  and verify that  $I_X(f(x|1), f(x|3)) = I_{T(X)}(f^*(t|1), f^*(t|3))$  (where the  $f^*$ 's are pmfs for  $T(X)$  corresponding to the  $f$ 's).