

#2.2.30

sols From the hint, wlog, we can suppose $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_q = 0$ and $n_{q+1}, \dots, n_k > 0$.

Then, $L(\theta) = P(Z > 0) = \prod_{j=q+1}^k \theta_j^{n_j}$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(\theta) \equiv \log L(\theta) = \sum_{j=q+1}^k n_j \log \theta_j$$

$$= \sum_{j=q+1}^{k-1} n_j \log \theta_j + n_k \log \left(1 - \sum_{j=q+1}^{k-1} \theta_j \right) \quad \text{as } \theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=q+1}^{k-1} \theta_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{n_j}{\theta_j} + n_k \cdot \frac{(-1)}{1 - \sum_{j=q+1}^{k-1} \theta_j} = \frac{n_j}{\theta_j} - \frac{n_k}{\theta_k} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0, \quad j = q+1, \dots, k-1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n_j}{\theta_j} = \frac{n_k}{\theta_k}, \quad j = q+1, \dots, k-1$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=q+1}^{k-1} \theta_j \end{array} \right.$$

Thus, the solutions satisfy $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\hat{\theta}_j}{\hat{\theta}_k} = \frac{n_j}{n_k}, \quad j = q+1, \dots, k-1 \end{array} \right.$

$$\hat{\theta}_k = 1 - \sum_{j=q+1}^{k-1} \frac{n_j}{n_k} \hat{\theta}_k$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta}_k = \frac{n_k}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\theta}_j = \frac{n_j}{n}, \quad j = q+1, \dots, k-1$$

Since $\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j^2} = -\frac{n_j}{\theta_j^2} < 0$ and $|J| = \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{n_1}{\theta_1^2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{n_2}{\theta_2^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{n_{k-1}}{\theta_{k-1}^2} \end{vmatrix} > 0$,

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k) = \left(\frac{n_1}{n}, \frac{n_2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n_k}{n} \right) //$$

#2, 2.75

$$\text{sol)} L(\theta) = p(x; \theta) = \prod_{k=1}^2 \frac{1}{\pi [1 + (x_k - \theta)^2]}$$

$$\Rightarrow l(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = - \sum_{k=1}^2 \log(\pi [1 + (x_k - \theta)^2]) = -2 \log \pi - \sum_{k=1}^2 \log [1 + (x_k - \theta)^2]$$

$$\Rightarrow l'(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{2(x_k - \theta)}{1 + (x_k - \theta)^2} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \quad \text{impres}$$

$$\frac{2(x_1 - \theta)}{1 + (x_1 - \theta)^2} + \frac{2(x_2 - \theta)}{1 + (x_2 - \theta)^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2(x_1 - \theta) [1 + (x_2 - \theta)^2] + 2(x_2 - \theta) [1 + (x_1 - \theta)^2] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_1 + x_2 - 2\theta) + (x_1 - \theta)(x_2 - \theta)(x_2 - \theta + x_1 - \theta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + (x_1 - \theta)(x_2 - \theta)] [x_1 + x_2 - 2\theta] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [\theta^2 - (x_1 + x_2)\theta + x_1 x_2 + 1] [\theta - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [(\theta - \bar{x})^2 - \Delta^2 + 1] [\theta - \bar{x}] = 0 \quad \text{where } \bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}$$

(a) $|\Delta| \leq 1$.

i) Case 1. $\Delta = 1$: $l'(\theta) = 0$ impres $(\theta - \bar{x})^3 = 0 \quad \therefore \hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$.

Case 2. $|\Delta| < 1$: same $[(\theta - \bar{x})^2 - \Delta^2 + 1] > 0$, $l'(\theta) = 0$ impres $(\theta - \bar{x}) = 0 \quad \therefore \hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$.

Thus, $l'(\theta)$ has only one root $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}$.

$$\text{ii)} l''(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{-2 [1 + (x_k - \theta)^2] + 2(x_k - \theta) \cdot 2(x_k - \theta)}{[1 + (x_k - \theta)^2]^2} = \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{2(x_k - \theta)^2 - 2}{[1 + (x_k - \theta)^2]^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow l''(\hat{\theta}) = 2 \cdot \left\{ 2 \cdot \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \right\} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{1}{[1 + (x_k - \hat{\theta})^2]^2} \right\} = 4(\Delta^2 - 1) \cdot \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{1}{[1 + (x_k - \hat{\theta})^2]^2} \right\} \leq 0 \quad //$$

(b) $|\Delta| > 1$

sol) $L'(\theta) = 0 \Rightarrow [(\theta - \bar{x})^2 - \Delta^2 + 1](\theta - \bar{x}) = 0$

Here, as $|\Delta| > 1$, $(\theta - \bar{x})^2 - \Delta^2 + 1 = 0$ has 2 roots (quadratic equation of θ)

ie., $\theta^2 - 2\bar{x}\theta + \bar{x}^2 - \Delta^2 + 1 = 0$ has 2 roots as $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x} \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}$

$\therefore L'(\theta) = 0$ has 3 roots : $\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{x}$, $\hat{\theta}_2 = \bar{x} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}$, $\hat{\theta}_3 = \bar{x} - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}$

Note that

$$L(\hat{\theta}_1) = \prod_{\bar{x}=1}^2 \frac{1}{\bar{w} [1 + (\bar{x}_i - \hat{\theta}_1)^2]} = \frac{1}{\bar{w}^2 [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{2}\right)^2]^2}$$

$$L(\hat{\theta}_2) = \prod_{\bar{x}=1}^2 \frac{1}{\bar{w} [1 + (\bar{x}_i - \hat{\theta}_2)^2]} = \frac{1}{\bar{w}^2 [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{2} - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}\right)^2] [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{2} - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}\right)^2]}$$

$$L(\hat{\theta}_3) = \prod_{\bar{x}=1}^2 \frac{1}{\bar{w} [1 + (\bar{x}_i - \hat{\theta}_3)^2]} = \frac{1}{\bar{w}^2 [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{2} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}\right)^2] [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{2} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}\right)^2]}$$

and

$$d_1 \stackrel{\text{let}}{=} [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{2}\right)^2]^2 = [1 + \Delta^2]^2 = \Delta^4 + 2\Delta^2 + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_2 \stackrel{\text{let}}{=} [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{2} - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}\right)^2] [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{2} - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}\right)^2] &= [1 + (\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1})^2] [1 + (\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1})^2] \\ &= 1 + (\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1})^2 + (\Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1})^2 + (\Delta^2 - \Delta^2 + 1)^2 = 4\Delta^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_3 \stackrel{\text{let}}{=} [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{2} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}\right)^2] [1 + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{2} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}\right)^2] &= [1 + (\Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1})^2] [1 + (\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1})^2] \\ &= 4\Delta^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_2 = d_3$ and $d_1 - d_2 = 1 + 2\Delta^2 + \Delta^4 - 4\Delta^2 = (1 - \Delta^2)^2 \geq 0$,

$L(\hat{\theta}_2) = L(\hat{\theta}_3) \geq L(\hat{\theta}_1)$. Thus, $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{x} \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}$, not unique when $|\Delta| > 1$ //

#2.2.29.

sol) i) Given $S \sim P_0(n\lambda)$, $S_1 \sim P_0(m\lambda_1)$, $S_2 \sim P_0(m\lambda_2)$,

S_1, S_1, S_2 are indep. and $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$,

$$L(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{e^{-n(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} [n(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)]^S}{S!} \cdot \frac{e^{-m\lambda_1} [m\lambda_1]^{S_1}}{S_1!} \cdot \frac{e^{-m\lambda_2} [m\lambda_2]^{S_2}}{S_2!}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \equiv \log L(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -n(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + S \log [n(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)] - \log S! - m\lambda_1 + S_1 \log (m\lambda_1) - \log S_1! \\ - m\lambda_2 + S_2 \log (m\lambda_2) - \log S_2!$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ell(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{\partial \lambda_1} = -n + \frac{S}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} - m + \frac{S_1}{\lambda_1} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \quad \dots \quad \textcircled{1}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \ell(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{\partial \lambda_2} = -n + \frac{S}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} - m + \frac{S_2}{\lambda_2} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \quad \dots \quad \textcircled{2} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \textcircled{1} - \textcircled{2} = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \frac{S_1}{\lambda_1} = \frac{S_2}{\lambda_2} \stackrel{\text{let}}{=} k$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{As } S_1 = k\lambda_1 \text{ and } S_2 = k\lambda_2, \quad S_1 + S_2 = k(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \quad \therefore \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{k} (S_1 + S_2)$$

$$\textcircled{1} = -n + \frac{kS}{S_1 + S_2} - m + k = 0 \quad \therefore k = \frac{(n+m)(S_1 + S_2)}{S + S_1 + S_2}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \frac{1}{k} = \frac{S + S_1 + S_2}{(n+m)(S_1 + S_2)}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{S_1}{k} = \frac{(S + S_1 + S_2) S_1}{(n+m)(S_1 + S_2)}, \quad \hat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{S_2}{k} = \frac{(S + S_1 + S_2) S_2}{(n+m)(S_1 + S_2)}$$

$$\text{ii)} \quad \text{(i)} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{\partial \lambda_1^2} = -\frac{S}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} - \frac{S_1}{\lambda_1^2} < 0; \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{\partial \lambda_2^2} = -\frac{S}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} - \frac{S_2}{\lambda_2^2} < 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad & \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \lambda_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2} & \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \lambda_2^2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{S}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} & -\frac{S_1}{\lambda_1^2} \\ -\frac{S}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} & -\frac{S_2}{\lambda_2^2} \end{vmatrix} \\
& = \left[\frac{S}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} + \frac{S_1}{\lambda_1^2} \right] \left[\frac{S}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} + \frac{S_2}{\lambda_2^2} \right] - \frac{S^2}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^4} \\
& = \frac{S}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} \cdot \frac{S_2}{\lambda_2^2} + \frac{S}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} \cdot \frac{S_1}{\lambda_1^2} + \left(\frac{S_1}{\lambda_1^2} \right) \left(\frac{S_2}{\lambda_2^2} \right) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\hat{\lambda}_1$ and $\hat{\lambda}_2$ are MLEs.

Problem 2.

Sol) Consider a model for $\underline{X} = (W, Y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_i | W_i &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta_{W_i}, 1), \\
W_i &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Ber}(\alpha), \quad i=1, \dots, n.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta = (\alpha, \theta_0, \theta_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
L_X(\eta) &= f(\underline{X}; \eta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i | w_i; \eta) \cdot P(W_i = \eta) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \phi(y_i - \theta_1) I_{[W_i=1]} + \phi(y_i - \theta_0) I_{[W_i=0]} \right\} \cdot \alpha^{w_i} (1-\alpha)^{1-w_i} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\alpha \cdot \phi(y_i - \theta_1) \right]^{w_i} \cdot \left[(1-\alpha) \phi(y_i - \theta_0) \right]^{1-w_i}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell_X(\eta) \equiv \log L_X(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[w_i \log \alpha + w_i \log \phi(y_i - \theta_1) + (1-w_i) \log (1-\alpha) + (1-w_i) \log \phi(y_i - \theta_0) \right]$$

(1) Pick a starting value $\eta^{(0)} = (\alpha^{(0)}, \theta_0^{(0)}, \theta_1^{(0)})$.

(2) E-step: For each iterate t , ($t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, T$),

$$E_{\eta^{(t)}} [\log \xi(\eta) | \text{data} = \mathcal{Y}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\bar{x}=1}^n \left\{ E[W_{\bar{x}} | y_{\bar{x}}; \eta^{(t)}] \cdot [\log \alpha + \log \phi(y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_1)] + \right. \\ \left. (1 - E[W_{\bar{x}} | y_{\bar{x}}; \eta^{(t)}]) \cdot [\log(1 - \alpha) + \log \phi(y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_0)] \right\}$$

where $E[W_{\bar{x}} | y_{\bar{x}}; \eta^{(t)}] = P(W_{\bar{x}} = 1 | y_{\bar{x}}; \eta^{(t)})$

Bayes

thm

$$= \frac{P(y_{\bar{x}} | W_{\bar{x}} = 1; \eta^{(t)}) \cdot P(W_{\bar{x}} = 1; \eta^{(t)})}{P(y_{\bar{x}} | W_{\bar{x}} = 0; \eta^{(t)}) \cdot P(W_{\bar{x}} = 0; \eta^{(t)}) + P(y_{\bar{x}} | W_{\bar{x}} = 1; \eta^{(t)}) \cdot P(W_{\bar{x}} = 1; \eta^{(t)})}$$

$$= \frac{\phi(y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_1^{(t)}) \cdot \alpha^{(t)}}{\phi(y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_0^{(t)}) (1 - \alpha^{(t)}) + \phi(y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_1^{(t)}) \cdot \alpha^{(t)}} \equiv r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)}$$

(3) M-step: Find a maximizer of $E_{\eta^{(t)}} [\log \xi(\eta) | \text{data} = \mathcal{Y}] \equiv Q(\eta | \eta^{(t)})$, $\eta^{(t+1)}$

$$\text{i) } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\sum_{\bar{x}} r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)}}{\alpha} - \frac{n - \sum_{\bar{x}} r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)}}{1 - \alpha} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \quad \therefore \alpha^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{\bar{x}} r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)}}{n}$$

$$\text{ii) } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_0} = \sum_{\bar{x}} (1 - r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)}) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \left(-\frac{(y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_0)^2}{2} \right) \right] = \sum_{\bar{x}} (1 - r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)}) (y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_0) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \quad \therefore \theta_0^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{\bar{x}} (1 - r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)}) y_{\bar{x}}}{\sum_{\bar{x}} (1 - r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)})}$$

$$\text{iii) } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_1} = \sum_{\bar{x}} r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \left(-\frac{(y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_1)^2}{2} \right) \right] = \sum_{\bar{x}} r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)} (y_{\bar{x}} - \theta_1) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \quad \therefore \theta_1^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{\bar{x}} r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)} y_{\bar{x}}}{\sum_{\bar{x}} r_{\bar{x}}^{(t)}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta^{(t+1)} = (\alpha^{(t+1)}, \theta_0^{(t+1)}, \theta_1^{(t+1)})$$

(4) Iterate step (2) and (3) until convergence.

Problem 2.

- ① Study 1: $Z_{1i} \sim \text{Multinom}(1, p)$, $i=1, \dots, n_1$ with $n_1 = 100$
- ② Study 2: $Z_{2i} \sim \text{Multinom}(1, p)$, $i=1, \dots, n_2$ with $n_2 = 100$
- ③ Study 3: $Z_{3i} \sim \text{Multinom}(1, p)$, $i=1, \dots, n_3$ with $n_3 = 50$

where $p = (p_{++}, p_{+-}, p_{-+})$ and $p_{--} = 1 - (p_{++} + p_{+-} + p_{-+})$

$$\Rightarrow L_x(p) = \prod_{l=1}^3 \prod_{i=1}^{n_l} f(Z_{li}; p) = p_{++}^{\frac{3}{1} n_{l++}} \times p_{+-}^{\frac{3}{1} n_{l+-}} \times p_{-+}^{\frac{3}{1} n_{l-+}} \times p_{--}^{\frac{3}{1} n_{l--}}$$

where $n_{le} = (n_{l++}, n_{l+-}, n_{l-+}, n_{l--}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} z_{li}$

$$\Rightarrow L_x(p) = \left(\frac{3}{1} n_{l++}\right) \log p_{++} + \left(\frac{3}{1} n_{l+-}\right) \log p_{+-} + \left(\frac{3}{1} n_{l-+}\right) \log p_{-+} + \left(\frac{3}{1} n_{l--}\right) \log (1 - p_{++} - p_{+-} - p_{-+})$$

(1) Pick a starting value $p^{(0)} = (p_{++}^{(0)}, p_{+-}^{(0)}, p_{-+}^{(0)})$ where $p_{--}^{(0)} = 1 - p_{++}^{(0)} - p_{+-}^{(0)} - p_{-+}^{(0)}$

(2) E-step: For each iterate t , ($t=1, 2, \dots, T$),

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p^{(t)}} [L_x(p) | S(Z) = y] = & \{ E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{1++} | n_{1+} = 10] + E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{2++} | n_{2+} = 20] + 4 \} \cdot \log p_{++} \\ & + \{ E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{1+-} | n_{1+} = 10] + E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{2+-} | n_{2+} = 20] + 2 \} \cdot \log p_{+-} \\ & + \{ E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{1-+} | n_{1-} = 90] + E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{2-+} | n_{2-} = 80] + 3 \} \cdot \log p_{-+} \\ & + \{ E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{1--} | n_{1-} = 90] + E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{2--} | n_{2-} = 80] + 36 \} \log (1 - p_{++} - p_{+-} - p_{-+}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n_{l++} | n_{l+} \sim \text{Bin}(n_{l+}, \frac{p_{++}}{p_{+}})$ where $n_{l+} = n_{l++} + n_{l+-}$ and $p_{+} = p_{++} + p_{+-}$, $l=1, 2$

and $n_{l-+} | n_{l-} \sim \text{Bin}(n_{l-}, \frac{p_{-+}}{p_{-}})$ where $n_{l-} = n_{l-+} + n_{l--}$ and $p_{-} = p_{-+} + p_{--}$, $l=1, 2$

$$E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{1++} | n_{1+} = 10] = 10 \cdot \frac{p_{++}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}}, \quad E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{2++} | n_{2+} = 20] = 20 \cdot \frac{p_{++}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}}$$

$$E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{1+-} | n_{1+} = 10] = 10 \cdot \frac{p_{+-}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}}, \quad E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{2+-} | n_{2+} = 20] = 20 \cdot \frac{p_{+-}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}}$$

$$E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{1-+} | n_{1-} = 90] = 90 \cdot \frac{p_{-+}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}}, \quad E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{2-+} | n_{2-} = 80] = 80 \cdot \frac{p_{-+}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}}$$

$$E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{1--} | n_{1-} = 90] = 90 \cdot \frac{p_{--}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}}, \quad E_{p^{(t)}} [n_{2--} | n_{2-} = 80] = 80 \cdot \frac{p_{--}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}}$$

(3) M-step: Find a maximizer of $E_{p^{(t)}} [L_X(p) | S(z) = y] \equiv Q(p | p^{(t)})$, $p^{(t+1)}$

$$\text{i) } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p_{++}} = \frac{10 \cdot \frac{p_{++}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}} + 20 \cdot \frac{p_{++}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}} + 4}{p_{++}} - \frac{90 \cdot \frac{p_{--}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 80 \cdot \frac{p_{--}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 2b}{1 - p_{++} - p_{+-} - p_{-+}} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

$$\text{ii) } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p_{+-}} = \frac{10 \cdot \frac{p_{+-}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}} + 20 \cdot \frac{p_{+-}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}} + 2}{p_{+-}} - \frac{90 \cdot \frac{p_{--}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 80 \cdot \frac{p_{--}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 2b}{1 - p_{++} - p_{+-} - p_{-+}} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

$$\text{iii) } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p_{-+}} = \frac{90 \cdot \frac{p_{-+}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 80 \cdot \frac{p_{-+}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 8}{p_{-+}} - \frac{90 \cdot \frac{p_{--}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 80 \cdot \frac{p_{--}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 2b}{1 - p_{++} - p_{+-} - p_{-+}} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

The solutions of the system of equations i), ii) and iii) are

$$p_{++}^{(t+1)} = \frac{10 \cdot \frac{p_{++}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}} + 20 \cdot \frac{p_{++}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}} + 4}{250},$$

$$p_{+-}^{(t+1)} = \frac{10 \cdot \frac{p_{+-}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}} + 20 \cdot \frac{p_{+-}^{(t)}}{p_{+}^{(t)}} + 2}{250},$$

$$p_{-+}^{(t+1)} = \frac{90 \cdot \frac{p_{-+}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 80 \cdot \frac{p_{-+}^{(t)}}{p_{-}^{(t)}} + 8}{250}$$

(4) Iterate step (2) and (3) until convergence.

7.2.1.

sol) $\pi(\theta|x) \propto f(x|\theta) \cdot \pi(\theta) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2\right] \cdot 1$

$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(n\theta^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \theta\right)\right]$$

$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} (\theta - \bar{x})^2\right]$$

a kernel of Normal dist. family

$$\Rightarrow \theta|x \sim N(\bar{x}, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$$

\(\therefore\) The improper Bayes mle for squared error loss is

$$\delta^*(x) = E[\theta|x] = \bar{x}$$

7.2.2.

sol) ① $\pi(\theta|x) \propto f(x|\theta) \cdot \pi(\theta) \propto \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-\theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \theta^{r-1} (1-\theta)^{s-1}$

$$\propto \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r - 1} (1-\theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + s - 1}$$

a kernel of beta dist. family

$$\Rightarrow \theta|x \sim \text{beta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r, n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + s\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_B = E[\theta|x] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r}{n + r + s} = \frac{n\bar{x} + (r+s) \cdot \frac{r}{r+s}}{n + r + s} = \frac{n}{n+r+s} \bar{x} + \frac{r+s}{n+r+s} \theta_0$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta}_B = w\theta_0 + (1-w)\bar{x} \quad \text{where} \quad w = \frac{r+s}{n+r+s}$$

② Note that $\text{Unif}(0,1) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{beta}(1,1)$ $\therefore \hat{\theta}_B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + 1}{n+2} = \frac{S'+1}{n+2}$ where $S' = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$

#3.2.7.

Sol)

$$\textcircled{1} \quad L(\theta) = f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i} = \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-\theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(\theta) \equiv \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \theta + (n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \log (1-\theta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell'(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta} - \frac{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1-\theta} \stackrel{\text{set } 0}{=} \quad \therefore \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}$$

$$\text{Since } \ell''(\theta) = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^2} - \frac{(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)}{(1-\theta)^2} < 0, \quad \forall \theta, \quad \hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{x}$$

$$\text{By invariance property of MLE, } \hat{g(\theta)}_{MLE} = g(\hat{\theta}) = \bar{x}(1-\bar{x})$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{Since } \theta|x \sim \text{beta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r, n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + s \right), \quad E[\theta|x] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r}{n + r + s}$$

$$\text{Since } \text{Var}(\theta|x) = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r \right) \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + s \right)}{(n+r+s)^2 (n+r+s+1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\theta^2|x] &= \text{Var}[\theta|x] + (E[\theta|x])^2 = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r \right) \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + s \right)}{(n+r+s)^2 (n+r+s+1)} + \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r \right)^2}{(n+r+s)^2} \\ &= \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r \right) \left[n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + s + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r \right) (n+r+s+1) \right]}{(n+r+s)^2 (n+r+s+1)} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r + 1 \right)}{(n+r+s) (n+r+s+1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow E[g(\theta)|x] = E[\theta|x] - E[\theta^2|x] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r}{n+r+s} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r + 1 \right)}{(n+r+s) (n+r+s+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r}{(n+r+s) (n+r+s+1)}$$

but

$$g(\hat{\theta}_B) = \hat{\theta}_B (1 - \hat{\theta}_B) = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r}{n+r+s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r}{n+r+s} \right) = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + r \right) \left(n + s - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)}{(n+r+s)^2}$$

$$\therefore E[g(\theta)|x] \neq g(\hat{\theta}_B)$$

7.2.4.

sol)

$$w(\lambda) \propto 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}, \quad 0 < \lambda < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow w(\theta) \propto w(\lambda) \cdot \left| \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} \right| = \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow w(\theta | \mathbf{z}) \propto f(\mathbf{z} | \theta) \cdot w(\theta) \propto \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-\theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot (1-\theta)^{-2}$$

$$= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-\theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 2}$$

a kernel of beta dist. family

$$\therefore \theta | \mathbf{z} \sim \text{beta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + 1, n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 1 \right)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + 1}{n} = \frac{S' + 1}{n} \quad \text{exists if } 0 < S' < n-1 \quad \text{where } S' = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

//

Problem 5.

(a) Since $K \leq 2.72$, take $M = 2.72$ and $h(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda)$.

$$\text{Then, } f(\lambda) = K \phi(\lambda) \text{sm}^2 \lambda \leq M \cdot h(\lambda).$$

\Rightarrow The rejection algorithm for sampling from $f(\lambda)$.

① generate $\lambda^{**} \sim h(\lambda)$ i.e., $\lambda^{**} \sim N(0,1)$

② Independently, generate $u \sim U(0,1)$

③ If $M \cdot u \cdot h(\lambda^{**}) < K \cdot \phi(\lambda^{**}) \text{sm}^2(\lambda^{**})$, then set $\lambda^* = \lambda^{**}$,

o.w., return to ①.

//

(b)

Let $N = 10,000$.

$$\hat{E}X = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^* \xrightarrow{P} EX \quad \text{by WLLN}$$

$$\hat{\text{Var}}X = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i^* - \hat{E}X)^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2 \quad \text{by using WLLN.}$$

Since $P[0.7 < X < 1.2] = E I_{[0.7 < X < 1.2]}$,

$$\hat{P} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N I_{[0.7 < x_i^* < 1.2]} \xrightarrow{P} P[0.7 < X < 1.2] \quad \text{by WLLN.}$$

//

Problem 6.

(a) Since $h(z) \leq e^1$, take $M = e^1$ and $g(z) = 1$, then $h(z) \leq M \cdot g(z)$

① Generate $x_i^{**} \sim g(z)$, i.e., $x_i^{**} \sim U(0,1)$, $i=1, \dots, 10$.

② Independently, generate $u \sim U(0,1)$

③ If $M \cdot u \cdot g(z^{**}) \leq h(z^{**})$, set $z^* = z^{**}$ where $z^{**} = (x_1^{**}, x_2^{**}, \dots, x_{10}^{**})$.

o.w. return to ①

(b) For N iterations where N is large enough,

$\hat{E}X_1 X_2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i1}^* x_{i2}^*$ is an estimator based on simulation.

By LLN, $\hat{E}X_1 X_2 \xrightarrow{P} EX_1 X_2$.

//

Problem 7.

(a) We have $x_i \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} P_0(\lambda_i)$, where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_M \equiv \mu_1$, $\lambda_{M+1} = \dots = \lambda_N \equiv \mu_2$,
 $\mu_1 \sim \text{Exp}(1)$, $\mu_2 \sim \text{Exp}(1)$, $M \sim \text{Unif}\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$,
 μ_1, μ_2 and M are mutually indep.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow G(\mu_1, \mu_2, M | z) &= \frac{f(z | \mu_1, \mu_2, M) \cdot G(\mu_1, \mu_2, M)}{\sum_{M=1}^N \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(z | \mu_1, \mu_2, M) \cdot G(\mu_1, \mu_2, M) d\mu_1 d\mu_2} \\ &= \frac{\left[\prod_{i=1}^M \frac{e^{-\mu_1} \mu_1^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[\prod_{j=M+1}^N \frac{e^{-\mu_2} \mu_2^{x_j}}{x_j!} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot e^{-\mu_1} \cdot e^{-\mu_2}}{\sum_{M=1}^N \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^M \frac{e^{-\mu_1} \mu_1^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[\prod_{j=M+1}^N \frac{e^{-\mu_2} \mu_2^{x_j}}{x_j!} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot e^{-\mu_1} \cdot e^{-\mu_2} d\mu_1 d\mu_2} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(M+1)\mu_1} \mu_1^{S_M} e^{-(N-M+1)\mu_2} \mu_2^{(T-S_M)}}{\sum_{M=1}^N \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-(M+1)\mu_1} \mu_1^{S_M} e^{-(N-M+1)\mu_2} \mu_2^{(T-S_M)} d\mu_1 d\mu_2} \end{aligned}$$

each is the kernel of gamma 'dist. family

\Rightarrow The integral of the denominator can be evaluated explicitly so can the summation.

\Rightarrow Also, $G(M | z) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty G(\mu_1, \mu_2, M | z) d\mu_1 d\mu_2$ is the product of the gamma integrals with multiplication of some scalar.

This can be also evaluated explicitly. //

(b)

Sol) The posterior dist. of (μ_1, μ_2, M) is

$$g(\mu_1, \mu_2, M | Z) = \frac{e^{-M\mu_1} \mu_1^{S_M} e^{-(N-M)\mu_2} \mu_2^{T-S_M} \cdot g(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\int_{M=1}^N \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-M\mu_1} \mu_1^{S_M} e^{-(N-M)\mu_2} \mu_2^{T-S_M} g(\mu_1, \mu_2) d\mu_1 d\mu_2}$$

\Rightarrow Gibbs sampling algorithm :

(1) Generate $M^{(0)} \sim \text{Unif}\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and $(\mu_1^{(0)}, \mu_2^{(0)}) \sim g(\mu_1, \mu_2)$.

(2) For each iteration, t ($t=1, 2, \dots, T$), generate $(\mu_1^{(t+1)}, \mu_2^{(t+1)}, M^{(t+1)})$ as follows.

i) Generate $M^{(t+1)} \sim g(M | \mu_1^{(t)}, \mu_2^{(t)}, Z)$:

Since $g(M | \mu_1^{(t)}, \mu_2^{(t)}, Z) \propto e^{-M(\mu_1^{(t)} - \mu_2^{(t)})} \left[\frac{\mu_1^{(t)}}{\mu_2^{(t)}} \right]^{S_M}$,

take $C = N \cdot \max_{M \in \{1, \dots, N\}} e^{-M(\mu_1^{(t)} - \mu_2^{(t)})} \left[\frac{\mu_1^{(t)}}{\mu_2^{(t)}} \right]^{S_M}$ and $h(M) = \frac{1}{N}$.

$\Rightarrow C \cdot h(M) \geq e^{-M(\mu_1^{(t)} - \mu_2^{(t)})} \left[\frac{\mu_1^{(t)}}{\mu_2^{(t)}} \right]^{S_M}$.

By using the rejection sampling algorithm, generate $M^{(t+1)}$ from $g(M | \mu_1^{(t)}, \mu_2^{(t)}, Z)$.

ii) Generate $\mu_1^{(t+1)} \sim g(\mu_1 | M^{(t+1)}, \mu_2^{(t)}, Z)$:

Since $g(\mu_1 | M^{(t+1)}, \mu_2^{(t)}, Z) \propto e^{-M^{(t+1)}\mu_1} \mu_1^{S_{M^{(t+1)}}} \cdot g(\mu_1 | \mu_2^{(t)})$,

take $C_1 = \max_{\mu_1} e^{-M^{(t+1)}\mu_1} \mu_1^{S_{M^{(t+1)}}}$ and $h_1(\mu_1) = g(\mu_1 | \mu_2^{(t)})$

$\Rightarrow C_1 h_1(\mu_1) \geq e^{-M^{(t+1)}\mu_1} \mu_1^{S_{M^{(t+1)}}} \cdot g(\mu_1 | \mu_2^{(t)})$

By using the rejection sampling algorithm, generate $\mu_1^{(t+1)}$ from $g(\mu_1 | M^{(t+1)}, \mu_2^{(t)}, Z)$

iii) Generate $\mu_2^{(t+1)} \sim g(\mu_2 | M^{(t+1)}, \mu_1^{(t+1)}, \mathcal{Z})$:

Since $g(\mu_2 | M^{(t+1)}, \mu_1^{(t+1)}, \mathcal{Z}) \propto e^{-(N-M^{(t+1)})/\mu_2} \cdot \mu_2^{-(T-S_M^{(t+1)})} \cdot g(\mu_2 | \mu_1^{(t+1)})$,

take $C_2 = \max_{\mu_2} e^{-(N-M^{(t+1)})/\mu_2} \cdot \mu_2^{-(T-S_M^{(t+1)})}$ and $h_2(\mu_2) = g(\mu_2 | \mu_1^{(t+1)})$

By using the rejection sampling algorithm, generate $\mu_2^{(t+1)}$ from $g(\mu_2 | M^{(t+1)}, \mu_1^{(t+1)}, \mathcal{Z})$.

(3) Go back to step (2) and iterate to convergence.

Problem 8.

(a)

We can get the conditional (posterior) distributions as follows:

$P(\theta_1 \theta_2)$		θ_2			
		1	2	3	4
θ_1	4	0	0	$\frac{0.12}{0.125}$	$\frac{0.1}{0.15}$
	3	0	0	$\frac{0.05}{0.125}$	$\frac{0.05}{0.15}$
	2	$\frac{0.2}{0.4}$	$\frac{0.1}{0.12}$	0	0
	1	$\frac{0.2}{0.4}$	$\frac{0.1}{0.12}$	0	0

$P(\theta_2 \theta_1)$		θ_2			
		1	2	3	4
θ_1	4	0	0	$\frac{0.12}{0.15}$	$\frac{0.1}{0.15}$
	3	0	0	$\frac{0.05}{0.1}$	$\frac{0.05}{0.1}$
	2	$\frac{0.2}{0.15}$	$\frac{0.1}{0.15}$	0	0
	1	$\frac{0.2}{0.15}$	$\frac{0.1}{0.15}$	0	0

⇒ Suppose that we use Gibbs sampling to produce the simulated values, by generating θ_1^* first and then generating θ_2^* from $P(\theta_2 | \theta_1^*)$.

If θ_1^* was in state 1 or 2, θ_2^* can never get to state 3 or 4, vice versa. Then, $P(\theta_1 \leq 2) = 1$ or 0.

∴ The Gibbs sampling does not work in this case. //

(b)

solⁿ ① Note that $P(\theta_{\bar{n}}^* | \theta_{\bar{n}-1}^*) \propto J(\theta_{\bar{n}}^* | \theta_{\bar{n}-1}^*) \cdot a_{\bar{n}}$ where $J(\theta_{\bar{n}}^* | \theta_{\bar{n}-1}^*)$ denotes the

proposal dist. of $\theta_{\bar{n}}^*$ given $\theta_{\bar{n}-1}^*$ and $a_{\bar{n}}$ denotes the acceptance rate,

mm ($r_{\bar{n}} = 1$) with $r_{\bar{n}} = \frac{P(\theta_{\bar{n}}^*)}{P(\theta_{\bar{n}-1}^*)}$ (as $J(\theta_{\bar{n}}^* | \theta_{\bar{n}-1}^*)$ is uniform).

\bar{n}	$\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$	(1,1)	(1,2)	(2,1)	(2,2)	(3,3)	(3,4)	(4,3)	(4,4)
	$P(\theta)$	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.2	0.1

ii) $J(\theta' | \theta) = \frac{1}{9}$ for any $\theta' \in \mathbb{H}$ given any fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{H}$

where $\mathbb{H} = \{ (1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2), (3,3), (3,4), (4,3), (4,4) \}$.

iii) Table: The moving ratio ($r_{\bar{n}}$), acceptance rate ($a_{\bar{n}}$), and conditional prob. ($P(\theta_{\bar{n}}^* | \theta_{\bar{n}-1}^*)$), for off-diagonal elements and conditional prob. for diagonal elements.

$\theta_{\bar{n}-1}^* \backslash \theta_{\bar{n}}^*$	(1,1)	(1,2)	(2,1)	(2,2)	(3,3)	(3,4)	(4,3)	(4,4)
(1,1)	$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32})$	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$
(1,2)	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$
(2,1)	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32})$	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$
(2,2)	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$
(3,3)	$(4, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(4, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(4, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$
(3,4)	$(4, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(4, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)$	$(4, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$
(4,3)	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32})$	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32})$	$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$
(4,4)	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(1, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$	$(2, 1, \frac{1}{8})$	$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$

* How can we get the conditional prob. of θ_i^x given θ_{i-1}^x for diagonal element?

ie., The conditional probability of having the same state as the previous.

= the conditional probability of staying at the same state.

$$= 1 - \sum_{\theta' \neq \theta} P(\theta' | \theta)$$

=> For example, $P(\theta_i^x = (1,1) | \theta_{i-1}^x = (1,1)) = 1 - (\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16}) = \frac{1}{2}$ //

②

$$P(\theta_i^x = \theta') = P(\theta_i^x = \theta' | \theta_{i-1}^x = \theta) \cdot P(\theta) = T^T \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.12 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.11 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.12 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.11 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{32} & \frac{1}{32} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{7}{16} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{32} & \frac{1}{32} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{32} & \frac{1}{32} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{16} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$

with $T = \{T_{jk}\}_{8 \times 8}$ and $T_{jk} = P(\theta_i^x = k\text{th state} | \theta_{i-1}^x = j\text{th state})$, $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ //

