

The Truth (More Than You Probably Wish to Know) About the Asymptotics of “Maximum Likelihood” and the LRT Statistic
Stat 543 Spring 2005

Theorem 1 Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random vectors and $f(x|\theta)$ is either the marginal probability mass function or the marginal probability density. Suppose that $\Theta \subset \mathcal{R}^1$ and there exists an open neighborhood of θ_0 , say \mathcal{O} , such that

- i) $f(x|\theta) > 0 \forall x$ and $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{O}$,
- ii) $\forall x$, $f(x|\theta)$ is differentiable at every point $\theta \in \mathcal{O}$, and
- iii) $E_{\theta_0} \ln f(X|\theta)$ exists $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{O}$ and $E_{\theta_0} \ln f(X|\theta_0) < \infty$.

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, the θ_0 probability that the likelihood equation

$$\ell'_n(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \ell_n(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln f(X_i|\theta) = 0 \quad (1)$$

has a root within ϵ of θ_0 converges to 1.

Corollary 2 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, suppose further that Θ is open and that $\forall x$, $f(x|\theta)$ is positive and differentiable at every point $\theta \in \Theta$, so that the likelihood equation (1) makes sense at all $\theta \in \Theta$. Define $\delta_n(X)$ to be the root of the likelihood equation when there is exactly one (and make any convenient definition for other cases). If with θ_0 probability approaching 1 the likelihood equation has a single root, then $\delta_n(X)$ is consistent in probability for θ at θ_0 .

Corollary 3 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, if $\{T_n(X)\}$ is a sequence of estimators consistent for θ in probability at θ_0 , and

$$\delta_n(X) = \begin{cases} T_n(X) & \text{if the likelihood equation has no roots} \\ \text{the root closest to } T_n(X) & \text{if the likelihood equation has at least one root} \end{cases} ,$$

then $\delta_n(X)$ is consistent in probability for θ at θ_0 .

Notation 4 In an iid model, i.e. where $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, let $I_1(\theta_0)$ be the Fisher Information Matrix at θ_0 for a single observation. That is, with marginal distribution specified by $f(x|\theta)$,

$$I_1(\theta_0) = \left(E_{\theta_0} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln f(X_1|\theta) \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \ln f(X_1|\theta) \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right) .$$

Theorem 5 Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random vectors and $f(x|\theta)$ is either the marginal probability mass function or the marginal probability density. If the model for X_1 is FI regular at θ_0 and with $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $I(\theta_0)$ is the Fisher Information Matrix for X at θ_0 ,

$$I(\theta_0) = nI_1(\theta_0) .$$

Theorem 6 Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random vectors and $f(x|\theta)$ is either the marginal probability mass function or the marginal probability density. Suppose that $\Theta \subset \mathcal{R}^1$ and there exists an open neighborhood of θ_0 , say \mathcal{O} , such that

- i) $f(x|\theta) > 0 \forall x$ and $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{O}$,
- ii) $\forall x$, $f(x|\theta)$ is three times differentiable at every point $\theta \in \mathcal{O}$,

iii) $\exists M(x) \geq 0$ with $E_{\theta_0} M(X_1) < \infty$ and $\left| \frac{d^3}{d\theta^3} \ln f(x|\theta) \right| \leq M(x) \quad \forall x$ and $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{O}$,

iv)

$$0 = \sum_x \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x|\theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \sum_x \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} f(x|\theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \quad \text{in the discrete case}$$

or

$$0 = \int \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x|\theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} dx \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \int \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} f(x|\theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} dx \quad \text{in the continuous case, and}$$

v) $I_1(\theta_0) \in (0, \infty) \quad \forall \theta \in \mathcal{O}$.

Then if with θ_0 probability approaching 1, $\delta_n(X)$ is a root of the likelihood equation and is consistent for θ in probability at θ_0 , under the θ_0 distribution for X

$$\sqrt{n}(\delta_n(X) - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\left(0, \frac{1}{I_1(\theta)}\right) .$$

Corollary 7 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6, if $I_1(\theta)$ is continuous at θ_0 , then under the θ_0 distribution for X

$$\sqrt{n I_1(\delta_n(X))} \cdot (\delta_n(X) - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1) .$$

Corollary 8 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6, and the θ_0 distribution for X

$$\sqrt{-\ell''_n(\delta_n(X))} \cdot (\delta_n(X) - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1) .$$

Useful Fact If $Y \sim N_k(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, then $(Y - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (Y - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \chi_k^2$.

Theorem 9 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6 if

$$\lambda_n^*(X) = 2 \ln \left(\frac{L_n(\delta_n(X))}{L_n(\theta_0)} \right) = 2(\ell_n(\delta_n(X)) - \ell_n(\theta_0)) ,$$

then under the θ_0 distribution for X ,

$$\lambda_n^*(X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \chi_1^2 .$$