

There are 2 ways to use this

- 1) if I have an unbiased  $\delta(X)$  and can see how to do Rao-Blackwellization, I can find the UMVUE
- 2) if I simply recognize a function of  $T(X)$  that is unbiased, it must be UMVU

Example  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  iid Poisson  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda > 0$   
 $\delta(\lambda) = \lambda$  This is an exponential family and it's easy to see that the natural parameter space contains an open rectangle - any unbiased function of  $\sum X_i$  is UMVU

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i \quad \text{has} \quad E_\lambda \bar{X} = \lambda$$

so  $\bar{X}$  is the UMVUE of  $\lambda$

day 24

Example  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  iid  $N(\mu, 1)$

$$\delta(\mu) = P_\mu [X_1 < c] = \Phi(c - \mu)$$

$S(X) = I[X_1 < c]$  is unbiased for  $\delta(\mu)$

$T(X) = \sum X_i$  is the natural sufficient statistic

here, so

$E[S(X) | T(X)]$  is the UMVUE of  $\delta(\mu)$

It's slightly more convenient but equivalent to work with  $T'(X) = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} T(X)$  and

$$E[I[X_1 < c] | T'(X)] = P[X_1 < c | T'(X)]$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \bar{X} \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{MVN}_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

and given  $\bar{X}$ ,  $X_1 \sim N(\bar{X}, \frac{n-1}{n})$

(given  $T(X)$   $X_1 \sim N(\frac{1}{n}T(X), \frac{n-1}{n})$ )

$$\text{So } P[X_1 < c | \bar{X}] = \Phi \left( \frac{c - \bar{X}}{\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \right)$$

is the UMVUE of  $\gamma(\mu)$

Lest we get too carried away with enthusiasm

Example  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

$$\gamma(\lambda) = e^{-2\lambda} = P[2 \text{ independent Poisson}(\lambda) \text{ r.v.'s are both } 0]$$

$X$  is the obvious natural sufficient statistic - one can check that

$$\delta(X) = (-1)^X = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } X \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } X \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

is unbiased - it's a function of  $X$  and  $\therefore$

the UMVUE - it is, in fact, the only unbiased estimator of  $\gamma(\lambda)$  - but it's clearly silly - even

$$\delta^*(X) = \mathbb{I}[X \text{ is even}]$$

is better (since  $e^{-2\lambda} > 0$ ) - Meeden

used to call such estimators RUBES ☹️

(ridiculous unbiased estimator(s))

The Third bit of optimality Theory for non-Bayes point estimation is Cramer-Rao - It provides a lower bound on the variance of an estimator

Thm (Cramer-Rao) If the model for  $X$  specified by  $f(x|\theta)$  is FI regular at  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^1$  (regularity/differentiability etc conditions hold at  $\theta = \theta_0$ ) and  $0 < I(\theta_0) < \infty$  and

$$E_{\theta} g(X) = \int g(x) f(x|\theta) dx \text{ or } \sum_x g(x) f(x|\theta)$$

can be differentiated under the integral (sum) sign at  $\theta_0$   $\left( \frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta} g(X) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} = \int g(x) \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x|\theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} dx \right)$

Then

$$\text{Var}_{\theta_0} g(X) \geq \frac{\left( \frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta} g(X) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right)^2}{I(\theta_0)}$$

Notice that in the case that  $E_{\theta} g(X) = \theta$  and thus that  $\frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta} g(X) = 1 \forall \theta$  This promises that

$$\text{Var}_{\theta} g(X) \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

and we have a lower bound on the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  that is simply the reciprocal of the FI in  $X$  about  $\theta$

Pf (of Cramer-Rao)

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta} g(X) \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} &= \int g(x) \left. \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x|\theta) \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} dx \\ &= \int g(x) \frac{\left. \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x|\theta) \right|_{\theta=\theta_0}}{f(x|\theta_0)} f(x|\theta_0) dx \end{aligned}$$

since  $\left. \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x|\theta) \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} = E_{\theta_0} \left( g(X) \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x|\theta) \right) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}$

$$0 = E_{\theta_0} \left( \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x|\theta) \right) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \Rightarrow \text{Cov}_{\theta_0} \left( g(X), \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x|\theta) \right) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}$$

Then, since  $(\text{Cov}(U, V))^2 \leq \text{Var } U \text{ Var } V$   
 (absolute correlations are not larger than 1)

So

$$\left( \left. \frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta} g(X) \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right)^2 \leq \underbrace{\text{Var}_{\theta_0} g(X) \cdot \text{Var}_{\theta_0} \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x|\theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}}_{I(\theta_0)}$$

Examp 6  $X \sim \text{Bi}(n, p)$

C-R says that any unbiased estimator of  $p$  has

$$\text{Var}_p g(X) \geq \frac{1}{n \left( \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \right)} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

Since  $\text{Var}_p \frac{X}{n} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$  provides another proof  
 That  $\frac{X}{n}$  is the UMVUE of  $p$

Example Showing The CR lower bound may not be attained (actually it is usually not attained)

Suppose  $X \sim \text{Bi}(n, p)$  and  $\delta(p) = p^2$  is of interest - The CR lower bound is

$$\text{Var}_p \delta(X) \geq \frac{(\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial p})^2}{\frac{1}{p(1-p)}} = \frac{4p^3(1-p)}{n}$$

As a matter of fact

$$\delta(X) = \frac{X(X-1)}{n(n-1)}$$

has 
$$E_p \delta(X) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} [np(1-p) + (np)^2 - np] = p^2$$

and is (as it turns out) the UMVUE of  $p^2$  (using Lehmann-Scheffé) - but

$$\text{Var}_p \delta(X) > \frac{4p^3(1-p)}{n} \quad \forall p$$

When is CR going to be attained? Well basically when

$\delta(X)$  is perfectly correlated with  $\left. \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(X|\theta) \right|_{\theta=\theta_0}$  under  $f(x|\theta_0)$  - i.e.  $\delta(X)$  is essentially the derivative of a log likelihood - ... which does that seem plausible? in exponential families!