

WRITE ALL YOUR ANSWERS ON THIS EXAM!!!

1. It is a Stat 542 probability fact that if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid Poisson (λ), then conditional on

$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t$, $X_1 \sim \text{Bi}\left(t, \frac{1}{n}\right)$. So then in the iid Poisson (λ) model, consider estimation of

$$\gamma(\lambda) = (1 + \lambda) \exp(-\lambda) = P_\lambda[X_1 = 0 \text{ or } 1]$$

a) Identify the UMVUE of this quantity and argue carefully that your estimator is indeed UMVU.

b) What is the Cramér-Rao lower bound for the variance of your estimator from a)? Do you expect that this bound is achieved? Why or why not?

2. Suppose that a discrete random variable X has pmf $f(x|\theta)$ specified in the table below for some θ .

		x				
		1	2	3	4	5
θ	4	5/15	4/15	3/15	2/15	1/15
	3	4/30	5/30	6/30	7/30	8/30
	2	1/15	2/15	3/15	4/15	5/15
	1	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2

a) Identify a test $\phi(x)$ that is MP size $\alpha = .3$ for testing $H_0:\theta = 1$ vs $H_a:\theta = 2$ and carefully state why it has this property.

b) Your test from a) could be used to test $H_0:\theta = 1$ vs $H_a:\theta = 2$ or 3. In this context, is it UMP of its size? Explain carefully.

c) Either identify a UMP size $\alpha = .4$ test of $H_0:\theta = 1$ vs $H_a:\theta = 2$ or 4 or argue very carefully that no such test exists.

3. Let X be exponential with mean β , i.e. have pdf $f(x|\beta) = I[x > 0] \frac{1}{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\beta}\right)$ on \mathfrak{R} . Let

$Y = X$ rounded to the nearest integer

be a “digitized” version of X , so that Y has pmf

$$h(y|\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{.5}{\beta}\right) & y = 0 \\ \exp\left(-\frac{y-.5}{\beta}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{y+.5}{\beta}\right) & y = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is possible to show (don't try to do so here) that for $\beta_1 < \beta_2$

$$\frac{h(0|\beta_2)}{h(0|\beta_1)} < \frac{h(1|\beta_2)}{h(1|\beta_1)}$$

a) Show that the family of distributions specified by $h(y|\beta)$ has MLR in y .

b) Identify a UMP test of size $\alpha = .2212$ of $H_0: \beta \geq 10$ vs $H_a: \beta < 10$ based on the digitized observation Y .

4. A curious statistician is interested in the properties of a 5-dimensional distribution on $[0,1]^5$ that has pdf proportional to

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left[-\left((x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3 - x_4)^2 + (x_4 - x_5)^2 + (x_5 - x_1)^2\right)\right]$$

This person decides to simulate from this distribution.

Carefully describe EITHER a rejection algorithm that could be used to produce realizations \mathbf{x} from this distribution OR a “Gibbs Sampler”/”Successive Substitution Sampling” algorithm that could be used to draw samples from this distribution.

(If you choose to describe a Gibbs sampler, give a formula for the univariate pdf that must be sampled when replacing the i th entry of a current \mathbf{x} vector. This formula will involve a 1-dimensional integral that would have to be evaluated numerically.)

5. Suppose that X has pdf $f(x|\theta) = 2\theta(1-2x) + 2x$ on $[0,1]$ for $\theta \in \Theta = [0,1]$. A Bayesian wants to test $H_0: \theta \leq .4$ vs $H_a: \theta > .4$. If the Bayesian's prior distribution is uniform on $[0,1]$, what is the person's (0-1 loss optimal) test?

6. Suppose that X is discrete with pmf $f(x|\theta)$ and that $T(X)$ is sufficient for $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathfrak{R}$. Let $g(t|\theta)$ be the pmf for $T(X)$ and $h(x|t)$ be the conditional pmf for X given that $T(X) = t$. We may then write

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x|T(x))g(T(x)|\theta)$$

Suppose that all useful regularity holds (for example, that $g(t|\theta)$ is differentiable in θ for all t). Argue very carefully that the Fisher information in $T(X)$ about θ at θ_0 is the same as the Fisher information in X about θ at θ_0 (i.e. that $I_{T(X)}(\theta_0) = I_X(\theta_0)$).